Interview Summary	09/930,029	SWEET ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	JEFFREY D. POPHAM	2437	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):			
(1) <u>JEFFREY D. POPHAM</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Leland Wiesner</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 24 June 2009.			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:			
Claim(s) discussed: <u>N/A</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>N/A</u> .			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) № N/A.			
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Discussed the supplemental amendment that was submitted (6/19) after the Examiner was done working on the case (6/18), but before the office action was mailed (6/22). It was determined on 6/25/2009 that a review would be done with respect to the supplemental amendment including the declaration under 37 CFR 1.132 and another action would be forthcoming. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.</u>			
/Jeffrey D Popham/ Examiner, Art Unit 2437			

Application No.

Applicant(s)